

1/6/98: Defendant does not appear. Bench warrant to issue. (This is the same warrant upon which Investigators and officers relied, as found at the time in CCIC, to arrest Gartin on September 19, 2002).

14. Based on the above, it appears that Gartin and/or his agent copied the two notations from 97M812, a case that was dismissed by the court, onto a page with the summons from 97M811, to falsely make it appear that 97M811 was also dismissed by the court. Authentic court records on each of those cases reveal that Gartin was tried and convicted in 97M811.
15. Gartin's attorney, Thomas Miller sent correspondence dated May 16, 2004 to Ms. Langfield and Judge Anderson, addressing in part the "exhibits attached to the Motion for Forgiveness and Petition to Seal which I filed on behalf of my former client, Steve Douglas Gartin." Within the letter he states that "The exhibits for Mr. Gartin's pleading ... were provided to me by Mr. Gartin." He also stated, "My review of the Register of Action, provided in Ms. Langfield's response, caused me to doubt the authenticity of those exhibits filed in the Motion for Forgiveness and Petition to Seal."
16. On June 4, 2004 I contacted Mr. Miller by telephone concerning these documents. He stated that Steve Gartin provided all the exhibits attached to the "Motion for Forgiveness and Petition to Seal". He began receiving these from Gartin over a period of months, beginning in about January or February 2004. This includes the documents that made up his "Exhibit B", although he could not recall a specific date he obtained those. He said that the number of documents provided by Gartin increased as the scheduled hearing date approached. Mr. Miller said that each time Gartin wanted to provide additional documents, he (Miller) had to meet Gartin at his residence, 2363 1/2 S. Decatur, Denver, CO. No one else was present during those meetings.
17. When I asked Miller if he could discuss any conversation that took place between he and Gartin concerning these documents, he stated that he would like to cooperate, but needed to consult with his legal counsel, Kevin Massaro. Mr. Massaro subsequently told me that he has advised Mr. Miller that he cannot violate the attorney-client privilege without either a waiver from Gartin, or upon order from a judicial officer.
18. On April 6, 2004 I contacted James K. Larson (DOB: 03/20/46), a Deputy Clerk in the Records Section for the Clerk of the Combined Court, Jefferson County, CO. I identified myself to him and showed him a 2002 color booking photograph of Steve Gartin, without revealing the name. I asked Mr. Larson if he recognized the person. He looked at the photo and without hesitation said, "That's Steve Gartin". He explained that he had become familiar with Gartin having filed numerous unusual pleadings in a case before Judge Anderson, and described Gartin's typical demeanor as "overly friendly". He said that Gartin also occasionally came to the court to retrieve files for examination. Mr. Larson told me that the last time he had personally seen Gartin retrieve a court file from the Records Section was approximately 3-4 weeks prior (early March 2004). He recalled that Gartin was alone and had requested